REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF BONSALL HORSE STALL BARNS, AD 05-038, Log No. 06-02-021

July 26, 2006

			<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform to Ordinance findings?	to the
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT □	
Discussion:				
boundaries of t of any off-site in Permit/Coastal	he Multiple Spe mprovements o Sage Scrub O	ecies Conse do not conta rdinance. T	provements are located outside of the ervation Program, the project site and located in habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Lodings is not required.	
			ect conform to the Multiple Species gation Ordinance?	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT □	
Discussion:				
located outside	of the boundatormance with	ries of the M the Multiple	provements related to the proposed project fultiple Species Conservation Program. Species Conservation Program and the uired.	
III. GROUNDW the San Diego	ATER ORDIN County Ground	ANCE - Doe dwater Ordir	es the project comply with the requireme nance?	nts of
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑	
Discussion:				

The project will obtain its water supply from the Rainbow Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use

any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

AD 05-038 - 2 - July 26, 2006

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than 20 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined by a review of County of San Diego aerial photographs, biological resource maps and a site visit by Jarrett Ramaiya on May 4, 2006. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archeologist, Gail Wright, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources.

,		-		
	shed Protec		Does the project comply with the later Management and Discharg	•
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
Discussion:				
A Stormwater Ma	nagement P	lan for Priorit	y Projects has been prepared for	or this project.
			ct comply with the County of Sa County of San Diego Noise O	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

ND08-06\0602021-ORDCHKLST;jcr